'11 (fichisen Topeka

arandanananananananananananan lake, kaat, kaatanananan aan a

And Santa Fe

Jetween San Francisco and Chicago Via Albuquerque, and Kansas City.

Sneed Comfort and Elegance

Pullmant and Dining Service Unsurpassed. Passing through the Grandest Scenery of the West F W Prince, Agent, 64 Market St. San Francis o Cal

Sacramento Saloon

tarcarranguages and color action and the color action and the state and

ANDY TODD, Prop.

The best of liquid refreshments always on tap, including imported and domestic goods.

Good Cigars are a part of our stock.

You never make a mistake at the old corner.

The Eagle Market

Our Meats are the best, if you are not satisfied with the place you are trading call on us Our motto is "The Best." A pleased patron means a steady customer

The Eagle Market

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

In and for the County of Ormsby.

Marion W. Bulkiey,

Plaintiff

Joseph W. Bulkley, Defendant

Action brought in the District Court of the First Judicial District of the State of Nevada, Ormsby County, and the complaint filed in the said county, in the office of the Clerk of said District Court on the 2d day of December, A. D. 1905.

GREETING TO

JOSEPH W. BULKLEY Defendant.

You are hereby required to appear in an action brought against you by on or before June 1, 1908. the above named Plaintiff, in the Dis trict Court of the first Judicial District of the State of Nevada, Ormsby County, and answer complaint filed therein within ten days (exclusive of the day of service) after the service on you of this Summons Is served at said county, or if served out of said County, but within the District, twenty days, in all other cases forty days, or judgment by default will be taken against you according to the prayer of said complaint.

The said action is brought to obtain the judgment and decree of this court that the bonds of matrimony here.o- School Moneys for 1905, on the basis fore and now existing and uniting you of \$6.990202 per census child: and said plaintiff to be forever annuled and dissolved upon the ground that at divers times and places since said marriage you have committed adultry with one Kate Cottrell, and particularly that from about the 9th day of Ju 1e 1900 to and including, the 13th day or June, 1900, at the Charing Cross Hotel in the city of London, England, you lived and conabited with said Kate Cottrell.

All of which more fully appears by complaint as filed herein to which

you are hereby referred. And you are hereby notified that if you fail to answer the Complaint, the said Plaintiff will apply to the Court for the relief herein demanded.

GIVEN under my hand and Seal of the District Court of the First Judicial District of the state of Nevata Ormsby County, this 2d day of Decemthousand nine hundred and Five.

H. B. VAN HTTEN, Clerk.

(SEAL). Geo. W. Keith, Attorney for Plaintiff.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF The Notice of Application for Permission to Appropriate the Public Waters of the State of Nevada.

with Section 23, Chapter XLVI, of the conveyance to the extent of his pur-Statutes of 1905, one Philip V. Mighels chase, which could be recorded and and Frank L. Wildes of Carson, County of Ormsby and State of Ne- ship from clow who held that part vada, made application to the State of the title for him as a trustee the Engineer of Nevada for permission to same as Clow would have retained the appropriate the public waters of the State of Nevada. Such application to be made from Ash Canyon creek at points in N E 14 of S W 14 of section 10 T 15 N R 19 E by mean's of a dam and headgate and five cubic feet per second is to be conveyed to points in N E 1/4 of S W 1/4 of section 11, THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS T 15 N R 19 E., by means of a flume and pipe and there used to generate electrical power. The construction of said works shall begin before June 1, 1906, and shall be completed on or before June 1, 1967. The water shall be actually applied to a beneficial use Signed:

> HEN .. Y THURTELL. State Engineer. SCHOOL APPORTIONMENT. STATE OF NEVADA,

Department of Education, Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction,.

Carson City, Nevada, July 11, 1905

To the School Officers of Nevada: Following is a statement of the second semi-annual apportionmen t of

Counties	children	Am
Churchill	135 \$	943 6
Douglass		2,215
Elko		7,829 (
Esmeralda		1,516
Eureka		2,719
Humboldt	741	570 K
Lander	319	
Lincoln	764	
Lyon		5 81
Nye		
Ormsby		
Storey	939	
Washoe	.2,412	16,860
White Pine		2 669

Joe Platt has received samples of tailor made suitings which are, withber, in the year of our Lord one out doubt the finest ever shown in this city. A number of suits have already been made and they are perfect fits in every case. Get your measure taken and do it before the best samples are gene. He guaran-

Total9,430 \$65,917 61

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA.

William J. Brandon, Plaintiff and Appellant,

N. H. West, as Administrator of the estate of B. G. Clow, deceased, Grace Clow, et al, Defendants and Respond-

Messrs Mack and Farrington, Attorneys for Appellant. Messrs. Cheney and Massey, Attorneys for Respondent.

DECISION

This action was brought against the lefendant, West, as administrator, an I the other defendants as heirs, of the estate of B. G. Clow, deceased, to compel the execution of a deed to plaintiff for a triangular piece of land marked with three iron pins and less that one acre in extent 23 described in the complaint. The uncontradicted testimony of several witnesses introduced by the plaintiff shows that clow, in the year 1901, and a considerable time before his death, sold to plaintiff a sand hill or sand pit which is identical with, or embraced in the boundaries of the parcel of land mentioned, . at he went upon the premises, marked and pointed out the boundaries to the plaintiff, put him in possession and accepted a cow in payment. Thereafter plaintiff hauled and sold sand from the pit exclusively and his right to the same were expressly acknowledged upon different occasions by Clow, who directed to plaintiff, persons applying for sand. No evidence was offered by the defendants.

The court was in doubt as to whether the proofs showed a sale of the land out at the request of the plaintiff found that "he purchases the sand situate i upon and in the sand hill described in plaintiff's complaint and the exclusive right to take sand therefrom", that Clow received and retained possession of the cow and that prior to and long after his death plaintiff was in possession of the property and taking sand.

From a judgment in favor of defendants for their costs and an order overruling a motion for a new trial, this appeal is taken.

The burden being upon the plaintiff to establish clearly an executed saie and there being a doubt as to whether Clow intended to sell the land in fee, or only the sand, leaving the land for him or his estate when stripped of it. the court properly refused to enforce a conveyance of the freehold to plaintiff, but it having been plainly indicated by the evidence and the court having found that there was an executed sale of the sand by Clow to the plaintiff, the latter was entitled to relief to that extent. In principle tae plaintiff has an interest in the land like the right to remove stone or cut timber or maintain a roadway or other easement, or like a lease or term for life or years, and although less than freehold, the maintiff, aster being plac-Notice is hereby g iven that on the ed in possession and making payment, 12th day of Sept., 1905, in accordance became entitled upon demand, to a whole title if the sale had been of the

> This legal title having passed to als successors by operation of law, it is incumbent upon them to convey it to

Schroder v. Gemeinder, 10 Nev. 367 Lake v. Lewis, 16 Nev. 94. Powell v. Campbell, 20 Nev. 233, I Tiffany Modern Law of Real Prop.

Th mpson v. Smith, 63 N. Y. 303 and cases there cited Kerr v. Day, 14 Pa. St. 112. 53 Am. Dec. 526 and annotation. Felch v. Hooper, 119 Mass. 52. Masterson v. Pullen, 62 Ala, 146. Wehn v. Fall, 53 Neb, 547. Swepson v. Rouse, 65 N. C. 34. 6 Am. R. 735.

Adams v. Harris, 47 Miss. 144. Carson v. Mulvany, 49 Pa. St. 88 Morgan v. Morgan, 2 Wheaton (15 U. S.), 302.

Massie v. Watts, 6 Cranch, 148. Newton v. Bronson, 67 Am. Dec. 89. W. U. v. P. C. C. Co. 137; Fed. p.

5 Pom. Eq. (3 ed.) Sec. 12 to 16 and cases cited Voi. 1 id. 367 If the proofs had indicated the sale of sand on land different from that described in the complaint there would have been a fatal variance, but when they establish that the plaintiff is entitled to an interest in, or a part of the estate, quantity or amount of land. money or personal property claime! under the allegations and demand in the complaint, he should be given under such circumstances as exist here, relief to that extent and not be forced

to further litigation. That the plaintiff may recover less than the whole of that which he damands without being relegated to another action is according to usual practice, and any other rule would tend to multiplicity of suits and occasion unnecessary delays and hardships.

In Brogan v. Daughdrill, 51 Ala 316, the bill averred a contract for the sale of more than four hundred acres. and the decree of the chancellor enforcing it as to eighty acres only was sustained, and it was said that it is a general rule of law and in equity that a plaintiff may recover a part only of what he claims.

In Drury v. Conner, 6 Harris and Johns 488 cited in that opinion, the plaintiff claimed the conveyance the whole of a piece of land, but the proofs entitled him to an undivided one-fourth only, which was decreed to

him. In Vicksburg R. R. Co., v. Ragsdale, 54. Miss. 215; "We know of no rule of equity which denies relief to a party altogether because he has made a false claim as to a part of it. In so far as he has shown title to relief to that extent should he be redressed."

The sand is a part of the land for of the suit, because plaintiff had failed which plaintiff seeks a deed in his complaint, the same as ore, marble or stone before removal is a part of the reality

Kingsley v. Holbrook, 45 N. H. 319. Stevenson v. Bachrack, 170 III, 256. State v. Pottmeyer, 33 Ind. 402. Carry v. Daniels, 8 Metc. 480. Lux. v. Haggin, 69 Cal. 255.

2 Blackstone Com. 18. Lime Rock R. k. Co. v. Farnsworth,

The defendants were aware that the plaintiff demanded a conveyance of the evidence. whole of the land, and they could have avoided costs by tendering a deed for that part of it comprising the sant, der appealed from. I think said judgand the right of its removal, in the ment and the said order should be same way that immunity from costs affirmed. may be secured by an offer to allow judgment for a less sum or estate, or for a smaller quantity of land or personal property than that demanded in

the complaint. In Schrader v. Gemeinder, Justice Hawley, speaking for this court said: We are satisfied that the objection urged upon the ground that the premises described in the deed were not the same as described in the lease is not well taken for the reason that no such objection was made at the time the deed was presented. If that was the only objection respondent ought to have so stated at the time of the tender. But in any view this City, who shall carry on as agent, question of costs and not to defeat appellant's rights. Courts of equity ought to determine the rights of the not by the technical and refined distinctions of the law."

This judgment and order are re conveying to the plaintiff the sand on any other valuable thing or repre- Co School Fund Dist. 4.....24 00 the proper memorandum thereof is and Sec. 3581. Pursuant to the mo- same room or apartment, whether pense in the lower court are stricken out of the cost billed here, but the reporters' fees of \$34.00 for transand the cost of typewriting briefs are allowed to stand under Rule VI, and the decision in the recent case of Candler v. Ditch Co.

Talbot, J. I concur: Norcross, J.

Finding myself unable to concur in the prevailing opinion. I deem it proper to make a brief statement of my Ordinances in so far as they conflict law of the case as it superes from the transcript filed in this court.

This is a suit for a specific performance of an alleged contract to con-

The contract was in the complaint lifetime of said Clow; and the suit is against N. H. West, as adminis trator of the estate of said Clow, OFFICIAL COUNT OF STATE and also against others named in said complaint as claiming under said Clow.

The case was tried without a jury; and the trial court gave judgment against plaintiff. The plaintiff appeals from said judgment and also from the order of the court denying his motion for a new trial.

The question before us on the appeal is: Does the evidence sustain the judgment and order? I think it does. The plaintiff sued for land, specifically describing it, alleging a contract with defendants' intestate to convey the same; but his evidence-the defendant not having put in any other than to cross examine plaintiffs witnesses-shows that he had no contract to convey land, but merely the sand on the premises described. I deem it unnecessary to quote or minutely state the evidence here. It is deemed sufficient that the general statement be made that no one of plaintiff's witnesses gives evidence of a buying or selling of land or a contract for buying or selling land. All the evidence as to buying and selling the "sand pit" or the "sand hill" on certain premises described in said evidence: er contracting to sell such sand old

or sand hill. Under such state of facts this court would not be justified in disturbing the finding of fact made by the Trial Court that there was no contract for buying, selling of conveying land; and Subscribed and sworn before me this without such contract there was nothing of which the Court could decree specific performance.

The court, however, did find as a fact that there was a contract between plaintiff and defendants' intestate to sell to plaintiff the sand on a certain piece of land described in plaintiff's complaint; and on this finding appel lant claims that it was error in the court not to give plaintiff judgment for this sand and for plaintiff's cost Liabilities, exclusive of capi of suit.

Under the pleadings and evidence in the case I think the ourt could not have properly so adjudged. There Other sources was no allegation in the complaint of a denial on the part of the defendants or any one of them of this right of plaintiff to the sand; or any adegation Dividends of refusal by the defendants or any Other expenditures 1,050,102 76 one of them to permit plaintiff to take the sand in accordance with the contract as stated in said finding. It is true the court made a finding of Risks written 23,276,143 00 such contract; but it made no finding Premiums thereon of any breach of said sontract. So Losses incured far as anything appears in said finding in concerned the defendants may have Risks written always permitted plaintiff to take sand in accordance with the contract Losses paid found to have been made with plaintiff by defendants' intestate. Unuer such circumstances the Court could not have adjudged against the defendants for either the sand or she costs

to prove defendants to have been in default.

Should defendants hereafter refuse to permit plaintiff to take sand in accordance with the contract as stated in the said finding, it may be that plaintiff would have his action to en force said contract; for then it may be that he could allege not only a contract to take the sand but also breach thereof by defendants. But as the case now stands here there is no breach of such contract either a 'laged in the complaint or proved by the

Therefore finding no error in refer-

Fitzgerald, C. J. Filed Dec. 28th, 1905.

ORDINANCE NO. 112.

On Ordinance for the Licensing of Games and Gambling Devices in Carson City.

The Board of Trustees of Carson City do ordain:

firm, company, corporation, or association within the limits of Carson or any other game not prohibited by Salary fund 2390 00 parties according to the broad princi- the statutes of the State of Nevada, Agl Assn. Bond Fund, Series ples of justice and fair dealing, and or who shall carry on or operate any nickle-in-the-slot-machine, or who Agl. Assn. Bond Fund, Series shall carry on or conduct any bankversed, and the district court is direct. ing game played with cards, dice or Co. School Fund. Dist, 1,....388 95 ed to decree the execution on the part other device, whether the same be Co. School fund, Dist. 2......151 20 of the defendants of the proper deed played with money, checks, credit cr Co. School fund Dist, 3......30 76 plaint and the exclusive right to 'e- sentative of value, shall pay for and State School fund, Dist. 1...2605 00 move the same, to which he is entitled obtain a city license to carry on such State school fund, Dist 2...160 00 as shown by the uncontradicted evi- game, and shall pay or each license State School fund, dist.3 ... 120 00 dence and findings with his costs, if twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) per month State School fund, Dist 4 ... 165 00 filed within two days after the entry provided ,that when more than one Special building5850 00 of the decree under the usual practice of said games are carried on in the School library, No. 2..........86 00 tion of respondents the items of ex- by the same or different owners, each game so carried on shall be separately licensed; and provided further, cribing notes or the records on appeal that the license imposed by this Ordinance is for the revenue only, and not for the purpose of prohibition, suppression or regulation.

> Section 2. The provisions of this Ordinance shall apply to all time on and after October 1, 1905.

Section 3. Ordinance Number 53 and all other ordinances or parts of with the provisions of this Ordinance are herby repealed. President of the Board of City Trustees of Carson City, Nevada.

Attest: Van Etien, Clerk,

FUND3. STATE OF NEVADA. County of Ormsby, s. s.

James G. Sweeney being duly sworn sav they are members of the Board of Examiners of the State of Nev., that on the 29th day of Nov '05 they, (after having ascertained from the books of the State Controller the amount of money that should be in the Treasury) made an official examination and count of the money and vouchers for money in the State Treasury of Nevada and found the same correct as follows:

\$151,107 29 Paid coin vonchers not returned to Controller 16,835 71

167.945 00 State School Fund Securities. Irredeemable Nevada State 380,000 00 School bond Mass. State 3 per cent 537,000 00 bonds Nevada State Bonds 253,700 00 Mass. State 312 per cent 313,000 00 United States Bonds 215,000 00 \$1,866,643.00 Total W. G. Douglass

James G. Sweeney 29th day of November, A. D. 1905. J. Doane. Notary Public, Ormsvy County, Nev.

ANNUAL STATEMEN'

Of The State Life Insurance Company Indianapolis, Ind. Capital (paid up) Assets (admitted) 3,160,083 31 2.615.497 63 tal and net surplus Income 4.046.907 77 Premiums 197,125 01 Total income, 1904 2,224,032 78 Expenditures 300,902 69 Losses 65,240 11 Total expenditures, 1904

........ Business, 1904 Nevada Business, 10,000 00

Premiums received 2.852 43 5,000 00 W. S. Wynn Secretary.

eveat Davey & Maishs'

Quarterly Report.

OFFICE COUNTY AUDITOR Ormsby County, Nevada. To the Honorable, the Board of Comty Commissioners, Gentlemen:

In compliance with the law. herewith submit my quarterly report showing receipts and disbursements of Ormsby County, during the quarter ending Dec. 30, 1905.

Receipts.

Balane in County Treasury at end of last quarter ... \$40023 36% Fee of Co. officers.........531 40 Rent of county bldg......250 00 1st. Instalment taxes.....14924 21% Slot machine license......282 00 Semi-Annual Set. State Treas 531 78 Delinquent taxes............23 8014 Section 1. Each and every person, Keep of W. Bowen...........45'00 61,077 36%

Disbursements. 21,968 5914

Re pitulation.

Cash in Treasury October 1905 Receipts from Oct. 1st to Dec 30, 190521054 0043 Disbursements from Oct. 1st to Dec 30, 190521968 5914 Balonce cash in County Treas. January 1, 1906..... 39108 7754

> Respectfully submitted, H. DIETERICH, County Auditor.

Recapitulation Co. School fund3248 71 o. Schood Dist. 1, fund. . 7638 2242 Co. School Dist. 2, fund..... 139 64 Co. School Dist. 3, fund. 190 -614 Co. School Dist. 3, fund.....425 35 State School Dist. 1, fund...1608 06 State School Dist, 2, fund.....77 51

State School Dist. 3, fund...371 39 State School Dist. 3, fund...371 39 State School Dist 4, fund.....19 22 Agl. Assn. Fund A.........680 8212 Agl. Assn Fund Special ... 1918 94 Co. School Dist. fund - special

Co. School Dist. fund 1, library Co School Dist. fund 3, library

Total

Co. School Dist fund 4, library

> 39108 7734 Respectfuly submitted H. B. VAN ETTEN County Treasurer

> > Draying

MILLARD CATLIN,

· Harling. Freighting

> Trunks and Baggage taken to and delivered as all trains.

> > Ho. For the West...

Tell your friends that the colonist rates are going into effect March 1st, 1905 and expire May 15, 1905. The rate from Chicago, Ill, \$31.00, St. Louis Mo., New Orleans, La, \$30 00, Council Bluffs Ia., Sioux City. Ia., Omahe, 1,416,245 56 Neb., Kansas City, Mo., Mineola, Texas and Houston Texas, \$25.00. Rates 805,648 06 apply to Main Line points in Galifor-316,885 00 nia and Nevada.

For Sale,

Two quartz wagens, one wood and one low wheel wagen, also harness for Large fresh Eastern oysters in bulk six horses. House, barn and five lots Apply at Adam Bay, Stiver Chy, Nov.